**Feedback**

**Personal details**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** *(Required)* |  |  |
| First Name |  | Last Name |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Email** *(Required)* |  | **Phone** *(Required)* |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Address** *(Required)* | | |
|  | | |
| **Street Address** | | |
|  |  |  |
| **City** |  | **ZIP / Postal Code** |

**Age (please tick as appropriate)** *(Required)*

* 16-25
* 26-35
* 36-45
* 46-55
* 56-65
* 66-74
* 75+

**SECTION 1 – Redevelopment of Land at Lady Lane, Swindon**

**1. In principle, what is your opinion on the redevelopment of the land at Lady Lane?**

* Strongly support
* Support
* Neutral
* Oppose
* Strongly oppose

|  |
| --- |
| **What is your view of the following statements:** |
| The Abbey Stadium is not suitable for development.  Since 1949 The Abbey Stadium, Lady Lane, Blunsdon, Swindon has been a much-loved sport and leisure facility.  There are many other suitable areas for residential and mixed-use development in Swindon and the Abbey Stadium should not be sacrificed for housing or other uses which could be successfully and conveniently accommodated elsewhere without resulting in the loss of a much-loved local amenity.  The proposed Land at Lady Lane development does not satisfy the requirements of paragraph 104 of the December 2024 version of the National Planning Policy Framework. The proposed development is also at odds with the Coventry Stadium Appeal Decision precedent of 19 January 2024.  The proposed development is also at odds with the current Swindon Council moratorium on development around Blunsdon because of the potential for impact on the Coldharbour junction and the requirement for a cumulative impact assessment for any development of greater than 20 dwellings.  The development proposed would be substantial and unacceptable overdevelopment. |

**2. ‘It is important for new homes to be built alongside new community and leisure facilities.’**

* Strongly support
* Support
* Neutral
* Oppose
* Strongly oppose

**3. ‘It is important to take a landscape-led approach that balances development with the delivery of soft landscaping and green open spaces’:**

* Strongly support
* Support
* Neutral
* Oppose
* Strongly oppose

**4. When considering both proposals, which of these issues is most important to you? (Please order from 1-5, with 1 being most important, and 5 being least important)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| The delivery of new homes | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | l |
| Providing community and leisure facilities, as well as space for local businesses | l | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ |
| Creating new, accessible green spaces for local residents to use | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | l |
| Integrating sustainability and energy efficiency measures | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | l |
| Investment in the local economy, including support for local supply chains and job creation | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | ¡ | l |

**SECTION 2 – Proposal 1 (Residential Neighbourhood, LGAH)**

**5. What is your view on the delivery of new homes in Swindon?**

* Strongly support
* Support
* Neutral
* Oppose
* Strongly oppose

|  |
| --- |
| **Please explain:** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **6. Do you have any comments on the design and/or layout of the proposed development?** |
| No |

|  |
| --- |
| **7. What are your thoughts on the proposed landscaping and creation of green open spaces on site?** |
| No |

|  |
| --- |
| **8. Do you have any comments related to traffic, access, parking, and transport?** |
| Yes. Current traffic volumes exceed road capacity producing serious traffic congestion.  The scheme proposed will add significant traffic numbers. Gridlock is predicted.  As a result there is a moratorium on development around Blunsdon because of the potential for impact on the Coldharbour junction. |

|  |
| --- |
| **9. Are there any further issues you think L&G should consider as we continue to refine our proposals?** |
| Yes. The protection of sporting facilities in National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 104 and the application of it in the Coventry Stadium Appeal Decision of 19 January 2024.  The availability of suitable brown, grey or green field sites should be considered before any attempt to destroy a much loved community sport and leisure facility. |

**10. What is your view on the delivery of a new community hub on site?**

* Strongly support
* Support
* Neutral
* Oppose
* Strongly oppose

|  |
| --- |
| **Please explain:** |
| A community hub will not satisfy the requirements of NPPF paragraph 104. |

**11. Which of the following facilities and amenities would you like to see delivered on site?**

* Convenience Store
* Community Café
* Outdoor sports facilities
* Community Self Storage
* Specialist Gym
* Community Space
* Start-up takeaway/retail micro units
* Start-up office and business micro unit
* Health and wellbeing

|  |
| --- |
| **12. What type of leisure and sports facilities would you like to see delivered as part of our proposals?** |
| Outdoor sports facilities ie. those already there.  The Abbey Stadium’s existing use; Speedway and Greyhound racing |

|  |
| --- |
| **13. Do you have any comments on the design and/or layout of the proposed development?** |
| Development is inappropriate.  The schemes proposed would result in overdevelopment. |

|  |
| --- |
| **14. Do you have any comments related to traffic, access, parking, and transport?** |
| See response at 8 above for traffic and access consultation response. |

|  |
| --- |
| **15. Are there any further issues you think should be considered as we continue to refine our proposals?’ (i.e. deletion of GI reference).** |
| The response to question 2 is on the basis that the Abbey Stadium should serve as community and leisure facilities for the existing new development in the area, not that the Abbey Stadium should be developed with different community and leisure facilities for new homes.  Please consider the application to the Abbey Stadium of the Coventry Stadium Appeal Decision and the Planning Inspector’s Decision to protect leisure and sporting facilities and refuse planning. |

**Privacy Statement**

By filling-in this form you are agreeing that Legal & General and the Land at Lady Lane project team can hold and process your personal data in relation to this public consultation exercise. We will only share your personal data with specific project consultants for planning evaluation purposes only.

Your identifiable, personal data will not be used for any other purposes without your consent. Depending on the nature of your enquiry/feedback, we will only use your data to:

* Respond to specific questions or enquiries.
* Send you updates about the project (where you provide us with your contact details).
* Develop a Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) about this public consultation that will be submitted to Swindon Borough Council as a publicly available document.

Your comments will be anonymous, and we will only identify you in these reports with your express permission. If you provide us with your contact details, we might also contact you to ask you more about any comments you’ve made. Your data will be stored in line with UK-GDPR.

For more information on how we handle personal data, please view: <https://landgah.com/privacy-policy>

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Consent** *(Required)* |  | **Consent** *(Required)* |
| * I agree to the privacy statement |  | * I wish to be kept informed about your proposals. |

**Post to: FREEPOST TC CONSULTATION**

**(no stamp required)**